

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,018	10/29/2003	Satoru Adachi	TIJ-35055	7078
23494	7590 04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
	STRUMENTS INCORP	INGHAM, JOHN C		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Δ
\boldsymbol{C}	ΓY
H	(
W	`
ν	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,018	ADACHI, SATORU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John C. Ingham	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection.	relection requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendments to the claims filed 9 February 2006 have been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim **7** is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 7 the second to last paragraph recites a "fourth semiconductor region of the first electroconductive type, having an impurity concentration higher than that of said semiconductor layer.... Facing said first semiconductor region with said gate electrode for reset sandwiched between them." The first region is n+ type, and the first electroconductive type is p type. The drawings (Fig 2A item 18) illustrate the fourth region as n+ type (¶ 38), the same as the 1st region, not the same as the semiconductor layer. It is assumed that the source of the reset transistor should be the same conductivity as the drain of that transistor. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2814

4. Claims **1-3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan (US 6,287,886).

Regarding claim 1, Pan discloses in Figure 4 a solid-state image sensing device 5. comprising a pixel (40), which has a light-receiving portion that receives light and generates and accumulates a signal charge, and has the following parts: a semiconductor substrate (42); a semiconductor layer (43) of the second electroconductive type (p) that is formed on the principal surface of said semiconductor substrate; a gate electrode for pixel selection (48) formed via a gate insulating film on said semiconductor layer; a first semiconductor region (55) of the first electroconductive type (n) that is formed in the outer layer (43) in the light-receiving portion positioned on one side of (46); a second semiconductor region (50) of the first electroconductive type formed deeper than said first region (55) in the outer layer of (43) in said light-receiving portion; and a third semiconductor region (54) of the first electroconductive type (n) formed in the outer layer of (43) on the other side of the gate electrode (48) for pixel selection, and containing an impurity (n type) and having an impurity concentration higher than that of said first region (55) (item 55 is less than 10¹⁸cm⁻³, item 54 is HDD. see col 3 In 29, 41).

Pan does not disclose that the device (40) is part of an integration of plural pixels, nor does Pan specify that the substrate (42) be of the first electroconductive type (n type). However, it is well know in the art that a substrate may be either n or p type. It is also well known to use pixels in an imaging array, and not singly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an n-type

Art Unit: 2814

substrate rather than a p-type substrate as disclosed by Pan. It would also have been obvious to integrate the single pixel disclosed into an array of plural pixels.

- 6. With regards to claim 2, Pan discloses in Figure 4 the device of claim 1, wherein the structure of the device is capable of performing the functional limitations of the claim 2 language. That is, the device of claim 1 receives and accumulates charge in the semiconductor layer (p type 43) of the light-receiving portion, and is capable of forming a junction transistor with the semiconductor substrate (n type 42), semiconductor layer, and second semiconductor region (n type 50), wherein the modulation of the threshold voltage of this junction transistor is performed by the signal charge accumulation in the semiconductor layer.
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Pan discloses in column 1 line 16-17, the device of claim 1 further comprising: a gate electrode for reset (Fig 3 items 46) that is formed via gate insulating film on said semiconductor layer, and a fourth semiconductor region (between two lower gates 46) of the second electroconductive type that is formed on the outer layer of said semiconductor layer on one side of said gate electrode for reset; said first semiconductor region is formed in the outer layer of said semiconductor layer on the other side of said gate electrode for reset; said semiconductor layer, said gate electrode for reset, and said fourth semiconductor region form a buried channel type of transistor for reset, and said signal charge accumulated in said light-receiving portion is evacuated from said light-receiving portion when said transistor for reset operates.

Art Unit: 2814

- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Guidash (US 6,466,266).
- 9. Regarding claim 4, Pan discloses the device described in claim 3, but does not specify wherein said gate electrode for pixel selection in one pixel is connected to said gate electrode for reset in the pixel adjacent to said one pixel.

Guidash teaches that sharing the signal lines may reduce signals within a sensor. Referring to Figure 6, the row select bus (37) of a pixel row previously read is employed as the reset gate bus (36) for the row currently being read. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Guidash on the device of Pan in order to reduce the total number of signal lines and hence the occlusion area (col 3 In 56-57, and col 4 In 1-3).

- 10. Claim **5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan and Guidash as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Joo (US 6,121,115).
- 11. Regarding claim **5**, Pan and Guidash disclose the device of claims 3, but do not specify a fifth semiconductor region of the first conductive type, having an impurity of the first conductive type (p) and having an impurity concentration higher than that of said first semiconductor region, formed in the outer layer of said semiconductor layer on the periphery of said first semiconductor region and in the portion other than the portion where said gate electrode for pixel selection and said gate electrode for reset are located.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,018 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

Joo teaches a device having a channel stop impurity layer around the periphery of the device. The channel stop region has a higher impurity concentration than the substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a fifth region in the periphery of the photodiode in order to improve device isolation (col 1 ln 23-25).

- 12. Claim **6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan, Guidash, and Joo as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Kopley (US 2001/0024864).
- 13. Regarding claim **6**, Pan, Guidash, and Joo disclose the device of claim 5, but do not specify a field plate formed as the gate electrode of a transistor for element separation, via a gate insulating film in the upper layer of the semiconductor layer between adjacent pixels on the outer periphery of said fifth semiconductor region. Kopley teaches in Figure 6 a structure including a guard layer (40) over field edges of adjacent pixels, formed as a gate of a transistor via an insulating film (Fig 8 item 41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the field plate (40) along with the channel stop impurities as taught by Joo, in order to further isolate adjacent photodiodes (Kopley abstract).
- 14. Claims **7**, **11**, **and 12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan and Guidash as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Hashimoto (US 6,977,684).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

15. Regarding claim **7**, Pan and Guidash disclose a solid-state image sensing device comprising each of the elements as discussed above in claims 1-4, including plural pixel rows formed from plural light-receiving elements arranged in a linear configuration (Guidash Fig 6), wherein each light-receiving element has the following parts: a semiconductor layer (Pan Fig 4 item 43) of a first conductive type formed on the principal surface of a semiconductor substrate (Pan Fig 4 item 42); and gate electrodes for read and reset of the facing light receiving elements in adjacent pixels electrically connected to each other (Guidash Fig 6).

Pan and Guidash do not disclose the rest of the limitations of claim 7, but

Hashimoto teaches in Figure 14 light-receiving elements in each pixel row arranged offset by about ½ pitch from those in the adjacent rows to reduce moiré (col 12 ln 58); a gate electrode for read (Fig 3 item 40) formed via an insulating film on said semiconductor layer on one side of the pixel row; a gate electrode for reset (43) formed via an insulating film on said semiconductor layer on the other side of the pixel row; a first semiconductor region (32a) of the second conductive type formed in the region between said gate electrodes for read and reset; a second semiconductor region of the second conductive type (below gate 36 of source-follower, see Fig 4), having an impurity concentration higher than that of said first semiconductor region and formed on said semiconductor layer in a region nearer said read gate electrode than said gate electrode for reset; a third semiconductor region (connected to item 37 in Fig 4) of the second conductive type, having an impurity concentration higher than that of said first semiconductor region and formed on said semiconductor region and formed on said semiconductor layer in the region facing said

Art Unit: 2814

first semiconductor region with said read gate (Fig 3 item 40) sandwiched between them; and a fourth semiconductor region (Fig 4, region left of reset gate 43) of the second conductive type, having an impurity concentration higher than that of said first semiconductor region in the region facing said first semiconductor region with said gate electrode for reset sandwiched between them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Hashimoto regarding the ½ pitch offset of adjacent pixels, due to the correction of moiré (col 12 ln 58), and the rearrangement of regions is known or an obvious variation from those of the art.

- 16. Regarding claim **11**, Hashimoto discloses a structure which can perform the functional limitations of the claim, that is, when a first voltage is applied to said reset gate (Fig 4 item 43), the light-receiving element is reset and charge is evacuated, and when a voltage is applied to the read gate (Fig 3 item 40), a signal corresponding to the accumulated charge is output (onto bus 37).
- 17. With regards to claim **12**, an obvious variation of an n-channel imaging sensor is a p-channel sensor.
- 18. Claims **8, 13-15, and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan, Guidash, and Hashimoto as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Ikeda (US 6,172,729). Joo and Kopley provide extra teachings regarding the field isolation regions.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,018 Page 9

Art Unit: 2814

19. Regarding claim **8**, Pan, Guidash, and Hashimoto disclose the device of claim 7, but do not specify that the gate electrode for read and reset of the facing light-receiving elements in the adjacent pixel rows are formed by a single electroconductive layer, and said layer is arranged to zigzag between the adjacent pixel rows.

The claim language "formed by a single electroconductive layer" describes a product by process. Product by process claims are not limited to the recitation of the steps, but only by the resulting structure. Ikeda teaches a delta type pixel array where the electrode lines (Fig 2A item 11n, 11m) are arranged to zigzag between adjacent pixel rows. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Ikeda on the device of claim 7, since this electrode arrangement allows the opening (aperture) to be increased (Ikeda col 5 In 34-35).

- 20. Regarding claim **13**, Pan, Guidash, and Joo disclose the elements as discussed with respect to claim 5.
- 21. With regards to claim **14**, Pan, Guidash, Joo and Kopley disclose the elements as discussed with respect to claim 6.
- 22. Regarding claim **15**, Hashimoto discloses a structure, which can perform the functional limitations of the claim (see discussion of claim 11 above).
- 23. With regards to claim **18**, an obvious variation of an n-channel imaging sensor is a p-channel sensor.

Art Unit: 2814

- 24. Claims **9, 16, and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan, Guidash, and Hashimoto as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Joo.
- 25. Regarding claim **9**, Joo teaches each of the elements as discussed with respect to claim 5.
- 26. Regarding claim **16**, Hashimoto discloses a structure, which can perform the functional limitation of the claim (see discussion of claim 11 above).
- 27. With regards to claim **19**, an obvious variation of an n-channel imaging sensor is a p-channel sensor.
- 28. Claims **10**, **17**, **and 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan, Guidash, Hashimoto, and Joo as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Kopley.
- 29. Regarding claim **10**, Kopley teaches each of the elements as discussed with respect to claim 6.
- 30. Regarding claim **17**, Hashimoto discloses a structure, which can perform the functional limitations of the claim (see discussion of claim 11 above).
- 31. With regards to claim **20**, an obvious variation of an n-channel imaging sensor is a p-channel sensor.

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamashita (US 2002/0036292) discloses an imaging sensor with a threshold modulating diffusion region (Fig 6), an n type substrate (Fig 7), and explanations of how the device may be changed into a p-channel device by changing the conductivity of the diffusion regions (¶92).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Ingham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John C Ingham Examiner
Art Unit 2814

jci

HOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINER